Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DONALD RAY ELLIS,

Plaintiff.

v.

OFFICER THOMAS,

Defendant.

Case No. 14-cv-00199-JCS

NOTICE REGARDING SUMMARY JUDGEMENT MOTIONS

Defendant(s) in this case may file a motion for summary judgment under Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. This notice is written to explain to the pro se plaintiff how the summary judgment process works and the consequences if a summary judgment motion is granted in the defendant's favor. See Rand v. Rowland, 113 F.3d 1520 (9th Cir. 1997).

A motion for summary judgment provides a procedure for terminating an action without trial if "there is no genuine issue as to any material fact and . . . the moving party is entitled to judgment as a matter of law." Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(c). Material facts are those which may affect the outcome of the case. Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc., 477 U.S. 242, 248 (1986). A dispute as to a material fact is genuine if there is sufficient evidence for a reasonable jury to return a verdict for the party opposing the motion for summary judgment. *Id.*

The party filing the motion for summary judgment is called the "moving party." The moving party bears the initial burden of identifying those portions of the pleadings, discovery and affidavits which demonstrate the absence of a genuine issue of material fact. Celotex Corp. v. Cattrett, 477 U.S. 317, 323 (1986). Where the moving party will have the burden of proof on an issue at trial, it must affirmatively demonstrate that no reasonable trier of fact could find other than for the moving party. But on an issue for which the opposing party will have the burden of proof

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

at trial, the moving party need only point out that there is an absence of evidence to support the opposing party's case. *Id.*

Once the moving party meets its initial burden, the opposing party may not rest upon the allegations or denials of unverified pleadings, but must file an opposition setting forth specific facts showing that there is a genuine issue for trial. Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(e). The facts relied upon must be admissible under rules governing admission of evidence generally, and must be presented in items such as: (1) declarations based on personal knowledge, accompanied by sworn or certified copies of all documents referred to in the declaration¹; id.; (2) discovery documents, such as answers to deposition questions, answers to interrogatories or answers to requests for admissions, that have been properly authenticated by a declaration by someone with personal knowledge of the documents' accuracy, Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(c); (3) verified complaints that meet the requirements of Rule 56(e) (that is, complaints containing factual assertions that are within the pleader's personal knowledge and are otherwise admissible evidence), see Schroeder v. McDonald, 55 F.3d 454,460 (9th Cir. 1995); Keenan v. Hall, 83 F.3d 1083, 1090 n.1 (9th Cir. 1996)). The evidence presented on each claim must not only be admissible, but also must be sufficient for a jury to reasonably return a verdict for the opposing party. Anderson, 477 U.S. at 249. If the opposing party fails to contradict the moving party with declarations or other evidence, the moving party's evidence may be taken as the truth.

It is not the district court's job to search the record for a genuine issue of triable fact. *Keenan v. Allen*, 91 F.3d 1275, 1279 (9th Cir. 1996). The opposing party has the burden of identifying with reasonable particularity the evidence that precludes summary judgment. Id. If the opposing party fails to do so, the district court may properly dismiss the claims. *Id*.

If the moving party has met its burden of proof and the opposing party fails to set forth

¹ A declaration is a statement of facts which are personally known to the person making the declaration. The facts in a declaration must be admissible in evidence, i.e., evidentiary facts and not conclusions or argument. The declaration must show affirmatively that the person making the declaration is competent to testify to the matters stated therein and contain no inadmissible hearsay or opinions. A declaration must be made under penalty of perjury, i.e., it must be signed at the end after the statement "I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on [date]."

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
p I	12
поп	13
NOTHERN DISTRICT OF CAR	14
	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	25
	26
	27

28

United States District Court

specific facts showing that there is a genuine issue for trial, then "the moving party is entitled to
judgment as a matter of law." Celotex Corp., 477 U.S. at 323. A successful motion for summary
judgment terminates the action without trial, and will result in a final judgment on the merits.

Dated: May 27, 2015

JOSEPH C. SPERO United States Magistrate Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DONALD RAY ELLIS,

Plaintiff,

v.

THOMAS,

Defendant.

Case No. 14-cv-00199-JCS

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.

That on May 27, 2015, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.

Donald Ray Ellis 125 Corte Maria Pittsburg, CA 94565

Dated: May 27, 2015

Richard W. Wieking Clerk, United States District Court

Karen Hom, Deputy Clerk to the Honorable JOSEPH C. SPERO